

### Flathead County

**Planning & Zoning**1035 1st Ave W, Kalispell, MT 59901
Telephone 406.751.8200 Fax 406.751.8210

#### PETITION FOR ZONING AMENDMENT

Submit this application, all required information, and appropriate fee (see current fee schedule) to the Planning & Zoning office at the address listed above.

ΔĐΙ	PLICANT/OWNER:	FEE ATTACHED \$	
ALI	DICARI) OWNER:		
1. N	lame:	Phone:	
2. N	⁄Iail Address:		
3. C	City/State/Zip:		
4. 11	nterest in property:		
Che	eck which applies:	Map Amendment	
TEC	CHNICAL/PROFESSIONAL	L PARTICIPANTS:	
Nan	ne:	Phone:	
Mai	ling Address:		
City	, State, Zip:		
Ema	ail:		
		oning text/map amendment?  TO AN AMENDMENT TO THE ZONING MAP PLEASE	
A.			
		•	
В.	Legal Description:		
		(Lot/Block of Subdivision or Tract #)	
Section Township Range		(Attach sheet for metes and bounds)	
C.	Total acreage:		
D.	Zoning District:		
E.	The <u>present</u> zoning of the above property is:		
F.	The <u>proposed</u> zoning of the above property is:		

G.	State the changed or changing conditions that make the proposed amend necessary:		
EAC	EWED I CRIT	. PLEASE PROVIDE A RESPONSE ERION FOR CONSIDERATION BY D COMMISSIONERS	HICH ZONING AMENDMENTS ARE AND DETAILED EXPLANATION FOR THE PLANNING STAFF, PLANNING
1	Is the		e see attached.
1.		proposed amendment in accordar //Neighborhood Plan?	ice with the Growth
2.	Is the	proposed amendment designed to	:
	a.	Secure safety from fire and other	dangers?
		Promote public health, public saf	
			of transportation, water, sewerage,
		schools, parks and other public r	
3.	Does	the proposed amendment consider	:
	a.	The reasonable provision of adequ	ate light and air?
		The effect on motorized and non-r	
			ricinity of cities and towns that at a
	d.		ts peculiar suitability for particular
	e.	Conserving the value of buildings	and encouraging the most appropriate
		use of land throughout the jurisdi	
4.		proposed amendment, as nearly as unces of nearby municipalities?	s possible, compatible with the zoning
* * *	* * *	******	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
The sig	gning of		ne Flathead County Plannina & Zonina staff
		Victoria Noble	2/18/2010
Owne	/Appli	cant Signature(s)	Date

THE FOLLOWING ARE THE CRITERIA BY WHICH ZONING AMENDMENTS ARE REVIEWED. PLEASE PROVIDE A RESPONSE AND *DETAILED* EXPLANATION FOR EACH CRITERION FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE PLANNING STAFF, PLANNING BOARD, AND COMMISSIONERS.

### 1. Is the proposed amendment in accordance with the Growth Policy/Neighborhood Plan?

Yes, the proposed dog day care amendment provides a necessary service that promotes a tourist economy consistent with the unique natural resources, values and amenities of the canyon, as outlined in CALURS 1.2.B3. Visitors that have a safe and convenient daytime activity for their dogs are more likely to spend time and money with local businesses. Furthermore, the performance standards mitigate the impact of the facility on the mixed residential and commercial use of the area.

#### 2. Is the proposed amendment designed to:

#### a. Secure safety from fire and other dangers?

Yes, it provides a safe daytime activity for tourists' dogs, keeping them off the streets or unsupervised in confined, potentially dangerous spaces (tied to trees, in cars, in RVs, etc).

#### b. Promote public health, public safety and the general welfare?

Yes, it provides the public with a safe facility to leave their dogs when participating in dog-prohibited activities (hiking in Glacier National Park, restaurants, as well as guided raft, fishing, and horseback rides). The service would provide peace of mind to the dog owners and to the rest of the community by helping reduce the chance of dogs running at large.

## c. Facilitate the adequate provision of transportation, water, sewerage, schools, parks and other public requirements?

Yes, the proposed amendment provides the community with a regulated, sanitary service. Waste disposal is addressed in the performance standards attached. The amendment is similar in nature to a community park, which is an encouraged used specifically allowed for in CALURS 6.2.A4.

#### 3. Does the proposed amendment consider:

#### a. The reasonable provision of adequate light and air?

Yes, the facility will provide adequate space both indoors and outdoors. The performance standards address the minimum facility size and setbacks from existing structures to ensure adequate space and prevent overcrowding.

### b. The effect on motorized and non-motorized transportation systems?

Yes, the amendment encourages the use of public transport, which does not allow pets, by providing a facility at which tourists can leave their dogs. It prevents visitors from leaving their pets in hot vehicles while they recreate. The amendment does not have a negative impact on motorized and non-motorized transportations systems.

# c. Compatible urban growth in the vicinity of cities and towns that at a minimum must include the areas around municipalities?

Yes, the Canyon Plan encourages the promotion of a tourist economy while maintaining the unique natural resources of the area. The proposed amendment supports the local tourist economy, at the same time ensuring through its

performance standards a minimal negative impact to neighbors.

# d. The character of the district and its peculiar suitability for particular uses?

Yes, the amendment promotes a tourist economy respectful of the nature of the area and type of tourist (visitors traveling with pets). Furthermore, the service is similar in nature to currently allowed uses such as day care, community parks and outdoor recreational activities such as horse back riding, rafting, fishing, etc.

# e. Conserving the value of buildings and encouraging the most appropriate use of land throughout the jurisdictional area?

Yes, the amendment insures a controlled environment for dogs and increases the value of businesses by providing a needed auxiliary service to tourists, allowing them to benefit from and enjoy the area's activities. The performance standards attached give guidelines on size and location of a dog day care facility in relation to existing buildings.

# 4. Is the proposed amendment, as nearly as possible, compatible with the zoning ordinances of nearby municipalities?

Yes, the adjacent districts of Coram and Hungry Horse are un-zoned. However, a dog day care in the canyon area is compatible with the recent dog park in Whitefish and the proposed one in Kalispell.